



Towards Optimal Classifier of Spectroscopy Data

David D. Pokrajac, Poopalasingam Sivakumar, Yuri Markushin, Mukti Rana, Gary Holness, Jinjie Liu, Noureddine Melikechi, Delaware State University

Daniela Milovic, University of Nis

icETRAN,

Vrnjacka Banja, 6/5/2014



Motivation

- Laser spectroscopy produced vast amounts of data
- Need for automatization of classification and discrimination of spectra
- Classification techniques are ad-hoc and do not have theoretical justification
- No assurance of optimality from statistical theory of detection point of view

Examples of Spectroscopy Data





Goal

- Develop optimal classifier for spectroscopy data
- Consider echelle spectrograph with an Intensified Charge Coupled Device (ICCD) sensors
- Verify model assumptions using experimental data

Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS) System



Block Diagram of System



$$\frac{s_{i}^{*}(\lambda_{k})}{n^{*}(\lambda_{k})}$$

Effects of Spectral Line Widening



Optimal Classifier of Spectroscopy Data

 $H_1: s_{out}(\lambda_k) = s_{i,1}^*(\lambda_k) + n^*(\lambda_k), k = 1, ..., K$ $H_2: s_{out}(\lambda_k) = s_{i,2}^*(\lambda_k) + n^*(\lambda_k), k = 1, ..., K$

• Detection of Gaussian signal in Gaussian noise!

 $H_1: \mathbf{s}_{out} = \mathbf{r}_1,$ $H_2: \mathbf{s}_{out} = \mathbf{r}_2,$ $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2$ are *K*-variate Gaussian vectors

$$p(\mathbf{s}_{out}|H_i) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{K/2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{s}_{out}-\mathbf{m}_i)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{-1}(\mathbf{s}_{out}-\mathbf{m}_i)}$$

Likelihood ratio test

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{s}_{out}) \triangleq \frac{p(\mathbf{s}_{out}|H_1)}{p(\mathbf{s}_{out}|H_0)} \stackrel{H_1}{<_{H_0}} \eta.$$

Log-likelihood test—quadratic decision boundary

$$l(\mathbf{s}_{out}) = \mathbf{s}_{out}^T \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{s}_{out} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{s}_{out} \underset{\leq_{H_1}}{\overset{>^{H_2}}{>}} \gamma$$

 $\mathbf{A} \triangleq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1} - \mathbf{\Sigma}_{2}^{-1})$ $\mathbf{b} \triangleq \mathbf{\Sigma}_{2}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{2} - \mathbf{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{1}$ $\gamma \triangleq \ln \eta + \frac{1}{2} (\ln |\mathbf{\Sigma}_{2}| - \ln |\mathbf{\Sigma}_{1}| + \mathbf{m}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{2}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{2} - \mathbf{m}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{1}).$

Experimental Results

- Andor Mechelle ME5000 spectrograph with an ICCD camera (iStar, Andor Technology, DH734-18F03)
- The total number of channels: 26,040.
- Wavelength range: 199.04—974.83nm.
- The spectrometer used orders *m*=21-100.
- The grating with 52.13 line/mm; grating constant d= -30μm, blazed at 32.35 degrees.
- Plasma excited with a broadband CPA-Series Ti-Sapphire ultrashort laser (Clark-MXR, Inc, Model: 2210) generating 150 fs lon pulses operating at 775nm
- Experiments performed with:
 - "Dark signal"
 - NIST standardized glass

Hypotheses Tested

- H_{01} : $s_{out}(\lambda_k)$ follows Gaussian distribution, $\lambda_k \in [200.33 \text{nm}, 909.45 \text{nm}]$ (for dark signal and NIST glass)
 - Tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors tests and by inspection of skewness and kurtosis
- H_{02} : $s_{out}(\lambda_i)$, $s_{out}(\lambda_j)$ are uncorrelated when $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ (for dark signal)
 - Tested by inspection of estimated normalized autocorrelation

Histogram of Skewness of "Dark Signal"



Histogram of Kurtosis of "Dark Signal"



Autocorrelation of "Dark Signal"



Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test for Gaussianity of NIST Glass Spectrum (α=0.05)



Lilliefors Test for Gaussianity of NIST Glass Spectrum (α=0.05)



Lilliefors Test for Gaussianity of NIST Glass Spectrum (α=0.005)



ì

Discussion and Conclusions

- "Dark signal":
 - Gaussian for almost all wavelengths
 - Observably correlated only with the samples at adjacent wavelengths
- NIST glass signals $s_{out}(\lambda_k)$ approximately Gaussian for a large range of $\lambda_k \in [400 \text{ nm}, 700 \text{ nm}]$
- Optimal classifier for spectroscopy data has quadratic decision boundary
- Optimal classifier is applicable if:
 - The number of samples is sufficiently large
 - Feature selection to determine discriminatory wavelengths is applied

Examples: Discrimination of Protein Classes using Selected Features



Examples: Discrimination of Protein Classes using Selected Features



Examples: Discrimination of Protein Classes using Selected Features



Acknowledgements

- US Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program (HBCU Partnership Training Award #BC083639)
- US National Science Foundation (CREST grant #HRD-1242067), CREST-8763
- NASA URC 7658
- US Department of Defense/Department of Army (45395-MA-ISP, #54412-CI-ISP, W911NF-11-2-0046)